arXiv:1610.04646v1 [math.DS] 14 Oct 2016

INFINITE DETERMINANTAL MEASURES AND THE ERGODIC DECOMPOSITION OF INFINITE PICKRELL MEASURES III. THE INFINITE BESSEL PROCESS AS THE LIMIT OF RADIAL PARTS OF FINITE-DIMENSIONAL PROJECTIONS OF INFINITE PICKRELL MEASURES

ALEXANDER I. BUFETOV

ABSTRACT. The third part of the paper concludes the proof of the main result — the description of the ergodic decomposition of infinite Pickrell measures. First it is shown that the scaling limit of radial parts of finite-dimensional infinite Pickrell measures is precisely the infinite Bessel point process. It is then established that the "gaussian parameter" almost surely vanishes for our ergodic components, and the convergence to the scaling limit is then established in the space of finite measures on the space of finite measures. Finally, singularity is established for Pickrell measures corresponding to different values of the parameter.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 2
2. Weak convergence of rescaled radial parts of Pickrell measures 3
2.1. The case $s > -1$: finite Pickrell measures 3
2.2. The case $s \leq -1$: infinite Pickrell measures 5
2.3. The modified Bessel point process as the scaling limit of the radial parts of infinite Pickrell measures: formulation of Proposition 2.5 6
2.4. Proof of Proposition 2.5 6
3. Convergence of approximating measures on the Pickrell set and proof of Propositions I.1.15, I.1.16 13
3.1. Proof of Proposition I.1.15 13
3.2. Proof of Proposition I.1.16 13
3.3. Weak convergence in $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}((0,+\infty))$ and in $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}(\Omega_P)$ 14
4. Proof of Lemma I.1.14 and Completion of the Proof of Theorem I.1.11 17
4.1. Reduction of Lemma I.1.14 to Lemma 4.1 17
4.2. Proof of Lemma 4.1 20
4.3. Completion of the proof of Theorem I.1.11 22
4.4. Proof of Proposition I.1.9 24

4.5. Proof of Proposition I.1.4 24
References 25

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is the third and final of the cycle of three papers giving the explicit construction of the ergodic decomposition of infinite Pickrell measures. Quotes to the other parts of the paper [8, 9] are organized as follows: Proposition II.2.3, equation (I.9), etc.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we go back to radial parts of Pickrell measures. We start by recalling the determinantal representation for radial parts of finite Pickrell measures and the convergence of the resulting determinantal processes to the modified Bessel point process (the usual Bessel point process of Tracy and Widom [44] subject to the change of variable $y = 4/x$). Next, we represent the radial parts of infinite Pickrell measures as infinite determinantal measures corresponding to finite-rank perturbations of Jacobi orthogonal polynomial ensembles. The main result of this section is Proposition 2.5 which shows that the scaling limit of the infinite determinantal measures corresponding to the radial parts of infinite Pickrell measures is precisely the modified infinite Bessel point process of the Introduction. Infinite determinantal measures are made finite by taking the product with a suitable multiplicative functional, and weak convergence is established both in the space of finite measures on the space of configurations and in the space of finite measures in the space of finite measures. The latter statement will be essential in the proof of the vanishing of the "Gaussian parameter" in the following section.

In Section 3, we pass from the convergence, in the space of finite measures on the space of configurations and in the space of finite measures in the space of finite measures, of rescaled radial parts of Pickrell measures to the convergence, in the space of finite measures on the Pickrell set, of finite-dimensional approximations of Pickrell measures. In particular, in this section we establish the vanishing of the "Gaussian parameter" for ergodic components of infinite Pickrell measures. Proposition 3.1 proved in this section allows us to complete the proof of Proposition I.1.16.

The final Section 4 is mainly devoted to the proof of Lemma I.1.14, which relies on the well-known asymptotics of the Harish-Chandra-Itzykson-Zuber orbital integrals. Combining Lemma I.1.14 with Proposition I.1.16, we conclude the proof of Theorem I.1.11. Using Kakutani's Theorem in the same way as Borodin and Olshanski [5], we prove that Pickrell measures corresponding to distrinct values of the parameter s are mutually singular.

2. Weak convergence of rescaled radial parts of Pickrell measures

2.1. The case $s > -1$: finite Pickrell measures.

2.1.1. *Determinantal representation of the radial parts of finite Pickrell measures.* We go back to radial parts of Pickrell measures $\mu_n^{(s)}$ and start with the case $s > -1$. Recall that $P_n^{(s)}$ stand for the Jacobi polynomials corresponding to the weight $(1-u)^s$ on the interval $[-1, 1]$.

We start by giving a determinantal representation for the radial part of finite Pickrell measures: in other words, we simply rewrite the formula (I.5) in the coordinates $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. Set

$$\hat{K}_n^{(s)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{n(n+s)}{2n+s} \frac{1}{(1+\lambda_1)^{s/2}(1+\lambda_2)^{s/2}} \times \\ \times \frac{P_n^{(s)}\left(\frac{\lambda_1-1}{\lambda_1+1}\right) P_{n-1}^{(s)}\left(\frac{\lambda_2-1}{\lambda_2+1}\right) - P_n^{(s)}\left(\frac{\lambda_2-1}{\lambda_2+1}\right) P_{n-1}^{(s)}\left(\frac{\lambda_1-1}{\lambda_1+1}\right)}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}.$$

The kernel $\hat{K}_n^{(s)}$ is the image of the Christoffel-Darboux kernel $\tilde{K}_n^{(s)}$ (cf. (I.34)) under the change of variable

$$u_i = \frac{\lambda_i - 1}{\lambda_i + 1}.$$

Another representation for the kernel $\hat{K}_n^{(s)}$ is

$$\hat{K}_n^{(s)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{1}{(1+\lambda_1)^{s/2+1}(1+\lambda_2)^{s/2+1}} \times \\ \times \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (2l+s+1) P_l^{(s)}\left(\frac{\lambda_1-1}{\lambda_1+1}\right) \cdot P_l^{(s)}\left(\frac{\lambda_2-1}{\lambda_2+1}\right).$$

The kernel $\hat{K}_n^{(s)}$ is by definition the kernel of the operator of orthogonal projection in $L_2((0, +\infty), \mathrm{Leb})$ onto the subspace

$$\hat{L}^{(s,n)} = \operatorname{span}\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda+1)^{s/2+1}} P_l^{(s)}\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1}\right), l = 0, \dots, n-1\right) = \\ = \operatorname{span}\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda+1)^{s/2+1}} \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1}\right)^l, l = 0, \dots, n-1\right).$$

Proposition I.1.17 implies the following determinantal representation of the radial part of the Pickrell measure.

Proposition 2.1. *For $s > -1$, we have*

$$(\mathfrak{rad}_n)_* \mu_n^{(s)} = \frac{1}{n!} \det \hat{K}_n^{(s)}(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) \prod_{i=1}^{n} d\lambda_i.$$

2.1.2. *Scaling.* For $\beta > 0$, let $\mathrm{hom}_\beta : (0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)$ be the homothety map that sends x to βx; we keep the same symbol for the induced scaling transformation of $\mathrm{Conf}((0, +\infty))$.

We now give an explicit determinantal representation for the measure

$$(\mathrm{conf} \circ \mathrm{hom}_{n^2} \circ \mathfrak{rad}_n)_* \, \mu_n^{(s)},$$

the push-forward to the space of configurations of the rescaled radial part of the Pickrell measure $\mu_n^{(s)}$.

Consider the rescaled Christoffel-Darboux kernel

$$K_n^{(s)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = n^2 \hat{K}_n^{(s)} \left(n^2 \lambda_1, n^2 \lambda_2\right)$$

of orthogonal projection onto the rescaled subspace

$$L^{(s,n)} = \mathrm{span} \left(\frac{1}{(n^2\lambda + 1)^{s/2+1}} P_l^{(s)} \left(\frac{n^2\lambda - 1}{n^2\lambda + 1} \right) \right) =$$
$$= \mathrm{span} \left(\frac{1}{(n^2\lambda + 1)^{s/2+1}} \left(\frac{n^2\lambda - 1}{n^2\lambda + 1} \right)^l, l = 0, \ldots, n-1 \right).$$

The kernel $K_n^{(s)}$ induces a determinantal process $\mathbb{P}_{K_n^{(s)}}$ on the space $\mathrm{Conf}((0, +\infty))$.

Proposition 2.2. *For $s > -1$, we have*

$$(\mathrm{hom}_{n^2} \circ \mathfrak{rad}_n)_* \, \mu_n^{(s)} = \frac{1}{n!} \det K_n^{(s)}(\lambda_i, \lambda_j) \prod_{i=1}^{n} d\lambda_i.$$

Equivalently,

$$(\mathrm{conf} \circ \mathrm{hom}_{n^2} \circ \mathfrak{rad}_n)_* \, \mu_n^{(s)} = \mathbb{P}_{K_n^{(s)}}.$$

2.1.3. *Scaling limit.* The scaling limit for radial parts of finite Pickrell measures is a variant of the well-known result of Tracy and Widom [44] claiming that the scaling limit of Jacobi orthogonal polynomial ensembles is the Bessel point process.

Proposition 2.3. *For any $s > -1$, as $n \to \infty$, the kernel $K_n^{(s)}$ converges to the modified Bessel kernel $J^{(s)}$ uniformly in the totality of variables on compact subsets of $(0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty)$. We therefore have*

$$K_n^{(s)} \to J^{(s)} \text{ in } \mathscr{I}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}((0, +\infty), \mathrm{Leb})$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}_{K_n^{(s)}} \to \mathbb{P}_{J^{(s)}} \textit{ in } \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}\mathrm{Conf}((0,+\infty)).$$

Proof. This is an immediate corollary of the classical Heine-Mehler asymptotics for Jacobi polynomials, see, e.g., Szegö [43].

Remark. As the Heine-Mehler asymptotics show, the uniform convergence in fact takes place on arbitrary simply connected compact subsets of $(\mathbb{C}\setminus 0)\times(\mathbb{C}\setminus 0)$.

2.2. The case $s \le -1$: infinite Pickrell measures.

2.2.1. *Representation of radial parts of infinite Pickrell measures as infinite determinantal measures.* Our first aim is to show that for $s \le -1$, the measure (I.17) is an infinite determinantal measure. Similarly to the definitions given in the Introduction, set

$$\hat{V}^{(s,n)} = \mathrm{span}\left(\frac{1}{(\lambda+1)^{s/2+1}}, \frac{1}{(\lambda+1)^{s/2+1}}\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1}\right), \dots, \right.$$
$$\left. \dots, \frac{1}{(\lambda+1)^{s/2+1}} P_{n-n_s}^{(s+2n_s-1)}\left(\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda+1}\right)\right).$$

$$\hat{H}^{(s,n)} = \hat{V}^{(s,n)} \oplus \hat{L}^{(s+2n_s,n-n_s)}.$$

Consider now the rescaled subspaces

$$V^{(s,n)} = \mathrm{span}\left(\frac{1}{(n^2\lambda+1)^{s/2+1}}, \frac{1}{(n^2\lambda+1)^{s/2+1}}\left(\frac{n^2\lambda-1}{n^2\lambda+1}\right), \dots, \right.$$
$$\left. \dots, \frac{1}{(n^2\lambda+1)^{s/2+1}} P_{n-n_s}^{(s+2n_s-1)}\left(\frac{n^2\lambda-1}{n^2\lambda+1}\right)\right).$$

$$H^{(s,n)} = V^{(s,n)} \oplus L^{(s+2n_s,n-n_s)}.$$

Proposition 2.4. *Let $s \le -1$, and let $R > 0$ be arbitrary. The radial part of the Pickrell measure is then an infinite determinantal measure corresponding to the subspace $H = \hat{H}^{(s,n)}$ and the subset $E_0 = (0,R)$:*

$$(\mathfrak{rad}_n)_*\mu_n^{(s)} = \mathbb{B}\big(\hat{H}^{(s,n)}, (0,R)\big).$$

For the rescaled radial part, we have

$$\mathrm{conf}_*\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}(\mu^{(s)}) = (\mathrm{conf}\circ\mathrm{hom}_{n^2}\circ\mathfrak{rad}_n)_*\,\mu_n^{(s)} = \mathbb{B}\left(H^{(s,n)}, (0,R)\right).$$

2.3. **The modified Bessel point process as the scaling limit of the radial parts of infinite Pickrell measures: formulation of Proposition 2.5.** Denote $\mathbb{B}^{(s,n)} = \mathbb{B}\left(H^{(s,n)}, (0,R)\right)$. We now describe the limit transition of the measures $\mathbb{B}^{(s,n)}$ to $\mathbb{B}^{(s)}$: namely, we multiply our sequence of infinite measures by a convergent multiplicative functional and establish the convergence of the resulting sequence of determinantal probability measures. It will be convenient to take $\beta > 0$ and set $g^{\beta}(x) = \exp(-\beta x)$, while for f it will be convenient to take the function $f(x) = \min(x, 1)$. Set, therefore,

$$L^{(n,s,\beta)} = \exp(-\beta x/2) H^{(s,n)}.$$

It is clear by definition that $L^{(n,s,\beta)}$ is a closed subspace of $L_2((0,+\infty), \mathrm{Leb})$; let $\Pi^{(n,s,\beta)}$ be the corresponding orthogonal projection operator. Recall also from (I.8), (I.9) the operator $\Pi^{(s,\beta)}$ of orthogonal projection onto the subspace $L^{(s,\beta)} = \exp(-\beta x/2) H^{(s)}$.

Proposition 2.5. (1) *For all $\beta > 0$ we have $\Psi_{g^{\beta}} \in L_1\left(\mathrm{Conf}(0,+\infty), \mathbb{B}^{(s)}\right)$ and, for all $n > -s+1$ we also have $\Psi_{g^{\beta}} \in L_1\left(\mathrm{Conf}(0,+\infty), \mathbb{B}^{(s,n)}\right)$;*

(2) *we have*

$$\frac{\Psi_{g^{\beta}} \mathbb{B}^{(s,n)}}{\int \Psi_{g^{\beta}}\, d\mathbb{B}^{(s,n)}} = \mathbb{P}_{\Pi^{(n,s,\beta)}};$$

$$\frac{\Psi_{g^{\beta}} \mathbb{B}^{(s)}}{\int \Psi_{g^{\beta}}\, d\mathbb{B}^{(s)}} = \mathbb{P}_{\Pi^{(s,\beta)}};$$

(3) *We have*

$$\Pi^{(n,s,\beta)} \to \Pi^{s,\beta} \ \textit{in} \ \mathscr{I}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}((0,+\infty), \mathrm{Leb})) \ \textit{as} \ n \to \infty$$

and, consequently,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\Pi^{(n,s,\beta)}} \to \mathbb{P}_{\Pi^{(s,\beta)}}$$

as $n \to \infty$ weakly in $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}\left(\mathrm{Conf}((0,+\infty))\right)$;

(4) *for $f(x) = \min(x,1)$ we have*

$$\sqrt{f}\Pi^{(n,s,\beta)}\sqrt{f}, \sqrt{f}\Pi^{s,\beta}\sqrt{f} \in \mathscr{I}_1((0,+\infty), \mathrm{Leb}));$$

$$\sqrt{f}\Pi^{(n,s,\beta)}\sqrt{f} \to \sqrt{f}\Pi^{s,\beta}\sqrt{f} \ \textit{in} \ \mathscr{I}_1((0,+\infty), \mathrm{Leb})) \ \textit{as} \ n \to \infty$$

and, consequently,

$$(\sigma_f)_* \mathbb{P}_{\Pi^{(g,s,n)}} \to (\sigma_f)_* \mathbb{P}_{\Pi^{(g,s)}}$$

as $n \to \infty$ weakly in $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}\left((0,+\infty)\right)\right)$.

The proof of Proposition 2.5 will occupy the remainder of this section.

2.4. **Proof of Proposition 2.5.**

2.4.1. *Proof of the first three claims.* For $s > -1$, write

$$L^{(n,s,\beta)} = \exp(-\beta x/2) L^{(s,n)}_{Jac},\ L^{(s,\beta)} = \exp(-\beta x/2) L^{(s)}$$

and keep the notation $\Pi^{(n,s,\beta)}$, $\Pi^{(s,\beta)}$ for the corresponding orthogonal projection operators. For $s > -1$, using the Proposition II.2.3 on the convergence of induced processes, we clearly have

$$\frac{\Psi_{g^\beta} \mathbb{P}_{K_n^{(s)}}}{\int \Psi_{g^\beta}\, d\mathbb{P}_{K_n^{(s)}}} = \mathbb{P}_{\Pi^{(n,s,\beta)}};$$

$$\frac{\Psi_{g^\beta} \mathbb{P}_{J^{(s)}}}{\int \Psi_{g^\beta}\, d\mathbb{P}_{J^{(s)}}} = \mathbb{P}_{\Pi^{(s,\beta)}},$$

and also

$$\Pi^{(n,s,\beta)} \to \Pi^{s,\beta} \text{ in } \mathscr{I}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}((0,+\infty), \mathrm{Leb})) \text{ as } n \to \infty\ .$$

If $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$, then, of course, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2\alpha}} (n^2 x_n + 1)^\alpha = x^\alpha,$$

and, by the Heine-Mehler classical asymptotics, for any $\alpha > -1$, we also have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{(n^2 x + 1)^{\alpha/2+1}} P_n^{(\alpha)} \left(\frac{n^2 x_n - 1}{n^2 x_n + 1} \right) = \frac{J_\alpha(2/\sqrt{x})}{\sqrt{x}}.$$

We note the following statement on linear independence, which is immediate from Proposition I.2.21 by the change of variables $y = 4/x$.

Proposition 2.6. *For any $s \le -1$, and any $R > 0$ the functions*

$$x^{-s/2-1}\chi_{(0,R)}, \dots, \frac{J_{s+2n_s-1}(\frac{2}{\sqrt{x}})}{\sqrt{x}} \chi_{(0,R)}$$

are linearly independent and, furthermore, are independent from the space $\chi_{(0,R)} L^{s+2n_s}$.

The proof of Proposition I.2.21 also gives, of course, that the functions

$$e^{-\beta x/2} x^{-s/2-1}, \dots, e^{-\beta x/2} \frac{J_{s+2n_s-1}(\frac{2}{\sqrt{x}})}{\sqrt{x}}$$

are linearly independent and, furthermore, independent from the space $e^{-\beta x/2} L^{s+2n_s}$. The first three claims of Proposition 2.5 follow now from its abstract counterparts established in the previous subsections: the first and the second claim follow from Corollary I.2.19, while the third claim, from Proposition II.2.6. We proceed to the proof of the fourth and last claim of Proposition 2.5.

2.4.2. *The asymptotics of $J^{(s)}$ at 0 and at ∞.* We shall need the asymptotics of the modified Bessel kernel $J^{(s)}$ at 0 and at ∞.

We recall that the Bessel function is denoted by J_s, and the usual Bessel kernel is denoted by $\tilde{J}_s$. We start with a simple estimate for $\tilde{J}_s$.

Proposition 2.7. *For any $s > -1$ and any $R > 0$ we have*

$$\int\limits_R^{+\infty} \frac{\tilde{J}_s(y,y)}{y} dy < +\infty. \tag{1}$$

Proof. Rewrite (1) in the form

$$\int\limits_R^{+\infty} \frac{1}{y} \int\limits_0^1 (J_s(\sqrt{ty}))^2 dt dy = \int\limits_0^1 dt \int\limits_{tR}^{+\infty} \frac{(J_s(\sqrt{y}))^2}{y} dy =$$

$$= \int\limits_0^{+\infty} \min\Big(\frac{y}{R}, 1\Big) \cdot \frac{J_s(\sqrt{y})^2}{y} dy = \frac{1}{R} \int\limits_0^R J_s(\sqrt{y})^2 dy + \int\limits_R^{+\infty} \frac{J_s(\sqrt{y})^2}{y} dy.$$

It is immediate from the asymptotics of the Bessel functions at zero and at infinity that both integrals converge, and the proposition is proved. Effectuating the charge of variable $y = 4/x$, we arrive at the following

Proposition 2.8. *For any $s > -1$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\int_0^\delta x J^{(s)}(x,x) dx < \varepsilon.$$

We also need the following

Proposition 2.9. *For any $R > 0$ we have*

$$\int\limits_0^R \tilde{J}_s(y,y) dy < \infty.$$

Proof. First note that

$$\int\limits_0^R \left(J_s(\sqrt{y})\right)^2 dy < +\infty$$

since for a fixed $s > -1$ and all sufficiently small $y > 0$ we have

$$\left(J_s(\sqrt{y})\right)^2 = O(y^s).$$

Now, write

$$\int_0^R \tilde{J}_s(y,y)dy = \int_0^1 \int_0^R J_s(\sqrt{ty})^2 dydt \leq$$

$$\leq (R+1)\int_0^R (J_s(\sqrt{y}))^2 dy < +\infty,$$

and the proposition is proved. Making the change of variables $y = 4/x$, we obtain

Proposition 2.10. *For any $R > 0$ we have*

$$\int_R^\infty J^{(s)}(x,x)dx < \infty.$$

2.4.3. *Uniform in n asymptotics at infinity for the kernels $K^{(n,s)}$.* We turn to the uniform asymptotic at infinity for the kernels $K^{(n,s)}$ and the limit kernel $J^{(s)}$. This uniform asymptotic is needed to establish the last claim of Proposition 2.5.

Proposition 2.11. *For any $s > -1$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $R > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \int_R^{+\infty} K^{(n,s)}(x,x)dx < \varepsilon, \tag{2}$$

Proof. We start by verifying the desired estimate (2) for $s > 0$. But if $s > 0$ then the classical inequalities for Borel functions and Jacobi polynomials (see e.g. Szegö [43]) imply the existence of a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $x \geq 1$ we have:

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} K^{(n,s)}(x,x) < \frac{C}{x^2}.$$

The proposition for $s > 0$ is now immediate.

To consider the remaining case $s \in (-1, 0]$, we recall that the kernels $K^{(n,s)}$ are rank-one perturbations of the kernels $K^{(n-1,s+2)}$ and note the following immediate general

Proposition 2.12. *Let $K_n, K, \check{K}_n, \check{K} \in \mathscr{I}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}((0,+\infty),\mathrm{Leb})$ be locally trace-class projections acting in $L_2((0,+\infty),\mathrm{Leb})$. Assume*

(1) *$K_n \to K$, $\check{K}_n \to \check{K}$ in $\mathscr{I}_{1,\mathrm{loc}}((0,+\infty),\mathrm{Leb})$ as $n \to \infty$;*
(2) *for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $R > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{tr} \chi_{(R,+\infty)} K_n \chi_{(R,+\infty)} < \varepsilon,\ \operatorname{tr} \chi_{(R,+\infty)} K \chi_{(R,+\infty)} < \varepsilon;$$

(3) *there exists* $R_0 > 0$ *such that*

$$\operatorname{tr} \chi_{(R_0,+\infty)} \check{K} \chi_{(R_0,+\infty)} < \varepsilon;$$

(4) *the projection operator* $\check{K}_n$ *is a rank one perturbation of* K_n.

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ *there exists* $R > 0$ *such that*

$$\sup_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{tr} \chi_{(R,+\infty)} \check{K}_n \chi_{(R,+\infty)} < \varepsilon.$$

Proposition 2.11 is now proved completely.

2.4.4. *Uniform in* n *asymptotics at zero for the kernels* $K^{(n,s)}$ *and completion of the proof of Proposition* 2.5. We next turn to the uniform asymptotics at zero for the kernels $K^{(n,s)}$ and the limit kernel $J^{(s)}$. Again, this uniform asymptotics is needed to establish the last claim of Proposition 2.5.

Proposition 2.13. *For any* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there exists* $\delta > 0$ *such that for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *we have*

$$\int_0^\delta x K^{(n,s)}(x,x)dx < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Going back to the u-variable, we reformulate our proposition as follows:

Proposition 2.14. *For any* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there exists* $R > 0$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, *such that for all* $n > n_0$ *we have*

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \int_{-1}^{1-R/n^2} \frac{1+u}{1-u} \tilde{K}_n^{(s)}(u,u)du < \varepsilon.$$

First note that the function $\frac{1+u}{1-u}$ is bounded above on $[-1,0]$, and therefore

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \int_{-1}^{0} \frac{1+u}{1-u} \tilde{K}_n^{(s)}(u,u)du \le \frac{2}{n^2} \int_{-1}^{1} \tilde{K}_n^{(s)}(u,u)du = \frac{2}{n}.$$

We proceed to estimating

$$\frac{1}{n^2} \int_{0}^{1-R/n^2} \frac{1+u}{1-u} \tilde{K}_n^{(s)}(u,u)du.$$

Fix $\kappa > 0$ (the precise choice of κ will be described later).

Write

$$\tilde{K}_n^{(s)}(u,u) = \left(\sum_{l\le\kappa n}(2l+s+1)\big(P_l^{(s)}(u)\big)^2\right)(1-u)^s + \\ + \left(\sum_{l>\kappa n}(2l+s+1)\big(P_l^{(s)}(u)\big)^2\right)(1-u)^s$$

We start by estimating

$$\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{l\le\kappa n}\int\limits_{1-1/l^2}^{1-R/n^2}\frac{1+u}{1-u}(2l+s+1)\big(P_l^{(s)}(u)\big)^2(1-u)^s du \tag{3}$$

Using the trivial estimate

$$\max_{u\in[-1,1]}\left|P_l^{(s)}(u)\right| = O(l^2)$$

we arrive, for the integral (3), at the upper bound

$$\text{const}\cdot\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{l\le\kappa n} l^{2s+1}\cdot\int\limits_{1-\frac{1}{l^2}}^{1-\frac{c}{n^2}}(1-u)^{s-1}du \tag{4}$$

We now consider three cases: $s>0$, $s=0$, and $-1<s<0$.

The First Case. If $s>0$, then the integral (4) is estimated above by the expression

$$\text{const}\cdot\frac{1}{n^2}\cdot\sum_{l\le\kappa n} l^{2s+1}\frac{1}{l^{2s}} \le \text{const}\cdot\kappa^2.$$

The Second Case. If $s=0$, then the integral (4) is estimated above by the expression

$$\text{const}\cdot\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{l\le\kappa n} l\cdot\log(n/l) \le \text{const}\cdot\kappa^2.$$

The Third Case. Finally, if $-1<s<0$, then we arrive, for the integral (4), at the upper bound

$$\text{const}\cdot\frac{1}{n^2}\left(\sum_{l\le\kappa n} l^{2s+1}\right)\cdot R^s n^{-2s} \le \text{const}\cdot R^s\kappa^{2+2s}$$

Note that in this case, the upper bound decreases as R grows. Note that in all three cases the contribution of the integral (4) can be made arbitrarily

small by choosing κ sufficiently small. We next estimate

$$\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{l\leq\kappa n}\int\limits_0^{1-\frac{1}{l^2}}\frac{1+u}{1-u}(2l+s+1)\left(P_l^{(s)}(u)\right)^2(1-u)^s du \tag{5}$$

Here we use the estimate (7.32.5) in Szegö [43] that gives

$$\left|P_l^{(s)}(u)\right|\leq \mathrm{const}\frac{(1-u)^{-\frac{s}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{l}}$$

as long as $u\in[0,1-\frac{1}{l^2}]$ and arrive, for the integral (5), at the upper bound

$$\mathrm{const}\cdot\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{l\leq\kappa n} l\leq \mathrm{const}\cdot\kappa^2$$

which, again, can be made arbitrarily small as soon as κ is chosen sufficiently small.

It remains to estimate the integral

$$\frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{\kappa n\leq l<n}\int\limits_0^{1-\frac{R}{n^2}}\frac{1+u}{1-u}\cdot(2l+s+1)(P_l^{(s)})^2(1-u)^s du \tag{6}$$

Here again we use the estimate (7.32.5) in Szego [43] and note that since the ratio l/n is bounded below, we have a uniform estimate

$$\left|P_l^{(s)}(u)\right|\leq \mathrm{const}\cdot\frac{(1-u)^{-\frac{s}{2}-\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{l}}$$

valid as long as $\kappa n\leq l\leq n$, $u\in[0,1-\frac{R}{n^2}]$, and in which the constant depends on κ and does not grow as R grows.

We thus arrive, for integral (6), at the upper bound

$$\frac{\mathrm{const}}{n^3}\sum_{\kappa n\leq l<n}\int\limits_0^{1-\frac{R}{n^2}}(1-u)^{-\frac{3}{2}}du\leq\frac{\mathrm{const}}{n^2}\left(1-\frac{n}{\sqrt{R}}\right).$$

Now choosing κ sufficiently small as a function of ε and then R sufficiently large as a function of ε and κ, we conclude the proof of the proposition.

The fourth claim of Proposition 2.5 is now an immediate corollary of uniform estimates given in Propositions 2.11, 2.13 and the general statement given in Proposition II.3.13.

Proposition 2.5 is proved completely.

3. Convergence of approximating measures on the Pickrell set and proof of Propositions I.1.15, I.1.16

3.1. **Proof of Proposition I.1.15.** Proposition I.1.15 easily follows from what has already been established. Recall that we have a natural forgetting map $\mathrm{conf} : \Omega_P \to \mathrm{Conf}(0,+\infty)$ that assigns to $\omega = (\gamma, x)$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots)$, the configuration $\omega(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots)$. By definition, the map conf is $\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}(\mu^{(s)})$-almost surely bijective. The characterization of the measure $\mathrm{conf}_*\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}(\mu^{(s)})$ as an infinite determinantal measure given by Proposition 2.4 and the first statement of Proposition 2.5 now imply Proposition I.1.15. We proceed to the proof of Proposition I.1.16.

3.2. **Proof of Proposition I.1.16.** Recall that, by definition, we have

$$\mathrm{conf}_*\nu^{(s,n,\beta)} = \mathbb{P}_{\Pi^{(s,n,\beta)}}.$$

Recall that Proposition 2.5 implies that, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta > 0$, as $n \to \infty$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\Pi^{(s,n,\beta)}} \to \mathbb{P}_{\Pi^{(s,\beta)}}$$

in $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}(\mathrm{Conf}((0,+\infty)))$ and, furthermore, setting $f(x) = \min(x, 1)$, also the weak convergence

$$(\sigma_f)_*\mathbb{P}_{\Pi^{(s,n,\beta)}} \to (\sigma_f)_*\mathbb{P}_{\Pi^{(s,\beta)}}$$

in $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}((0,+\infty)))$. We now need to pass from weak convergence of probability measures on the space of configurations established in Proposition 2.5 to the weak convergence of probability measures on the Pickrell set.

We have a natural map

$$\mathfrak{s}\colon \Omega_P \to \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}\big((0,+\infty)\big)$$

defined by the formula

$$\mathfrak{s}(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \min(x_i(\omega), 1)\delta_{x_i(\omega)}\,.$$

The map $\mathfrak{s}$ is bijective in restriction to the subset Ω_P^0 defined, we recall, as the subset of $\omega = (\gamma, x) \in \Omega_P$ such that $\gamma = \sum x_i(\omega)$.

Remark. The function $\min(x, 1)$ is chosen only for concreteness: any other positive bounded function on $(0,+\infty)$ coinciding with x on some interval $(0, \varepsilon)$ and bounded away from zero on its complement, could have been chosen instead.

Consider the set

$$\mathfrak{s}\Omega_P = \left\{\eta \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}\big((0,+\infty)\big) : \eta = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \min(x_i, 1)\delta_{x_i} \text{ for some } x_i > 0\right\}.$$

The set $\mathfrak{s}\Omega_P$ is clearly closed in $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}\big((0,+\infty)\big)$.

Any measure η from the set $\mathfrak{s}\Omega_P$ admits a unique representation $\eta = \mathfrak{s}\omega$ for a unique $\omega \in \Omega_P^0$.

Consequently, to any finite Borel measure $\mathbb{P} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}((0,+\infty)))$ supported on the set $\mathfrak{s}\Omega_P$ there corresponds a unique measure $\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{P}$ on Ω_P such that $\mathfrak{s}_*\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}$ and $\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{P}(\Omega_P \setminus \Omega_P^0) = 0$.

3.3. **Weak convergence in $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}((0,+\infty))$ and in $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}(\Omega_P)$.** The connection of the weak convergence in the space of finite measures on the space of finite measures on the half-line to weak convergence on the space of measures on the Pickrell set is now given by the following

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\nu_n, \nu \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}((0,+\infty))$ be supported on the set $\mathfrak{s}\Omega_P$ and assume that $\nu_n \to \nu$ weakly in $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}((0,+\infty))$ as $n \to \infty$. Then $\mathfrak{p}\nu_n \to \mathfrak{p}\nu$ weakly in $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}(\Omega_P)$ as $n \to \infty$.*

The map $\mathfrak{s}$ is, of course, not continuous, since the function

$$\omega \to \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \min(x_i(\omega), 1)$$

is not continuous on the Pickrell set.

Nonetheless, we have the following relation between tightness of measures on Ω_P and on $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}\big((0,+\infty)\big)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathbb{P}_\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}((0,+\infty)))$ be a tight family of measures. Then the family $\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{P}_\alpha$ is also tight.*

Proof. Take $R > 0$ and consider the subset

$$\Omega_P(R) = \left\{\omega \in \Omega_P \colon \gamma(\omega) \le R, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \min(x_i(\omega), 1) \le R\right\}.$$

The subset $\Omega_P(R)$ is compact in Ω_P, and any compact subset of $\mathfrak{s}\Omega_P$ is in fact a subset of $\mathfrak{s}\Omega_P(R)$ for a sufficiently large R. Consequently, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ one can find a sufficiently large R in such a way that

$$\mathbb{P}_\alpha(\mathfrak{s}(\Omega_P(R))) > 1 - \varepsilon \text{ for all } \alpha.$$

Since all measures $\mathbb{P}_\alpha$ are supported on Ω_P^0, it follows that

$$\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{P}_\alpha(\Omega_P(R)) > 1 - \varepsilon \text{ for all } \alpha,$$

and the desired tightness is established.

Corollary 3.3. *Let*

$$\mathbb{P}_n \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}\big((0,+\infty)\big)\right), n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \mathbb{P} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}\big((0,+\infty)\big)\right)$$

be finite Borel measures. Assume

(1) *the measures* $\mathbb{P}_n$ *are supported on the set* $\mathfrak{s}\Omega_P$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*;*
(2) $\mathbb{P}_n \to \mathbb{P}$ *converge weakly in* $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}\big((0,+\infty)\big)\right)$ *as* $n \to \infty$.

Then the measure $\mathbb{P}$ *is also supported on the set* $\mathfrak{s}\Omega_P$ *and* $\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{P}_n \to \mathfrak{p}\mathbb{P}$ *weakly in* $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\Omega_P)$ *as* $n \to \infty$.

Proof. The measure $\mathbb{P}$ is of course supported on the set $\mathfrak{s}\Omega_P$, since the set $\mathfrak{s}\Omega_P$ is closed. The desired weak convergence in $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\Omega_P)$ is now established in three steps.

The First Step: The Family $\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{P}_n$ *is Tight.*

The family $\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{P}_n$ is tight by Lemma 3.2 and therefore admits a weak accumulation point $\mathbb{P}' \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\Omega_P)$.

The Second Step: Finite-Dimensional Distributions Converge.

Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\varphi_l \colon (0,+\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous compactly supported functions, set $\varphi_l(x) = \min(x,1)\psi_l(x)$, take $t_1, \dots, t_l \in \mathbb{R}$ and observe that, by definition, for any $\omega \in \Omega_P$ we have

$$\exp\left(i\sum_{k=1}^{l} t_k \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k\left(x_r(\omega)\right)\right)\right) = \exp\left(i\sum_{k=1}^{l} t_k \operatorname{int}_{\psi_k}(\mathfrak{s}\omega)\right)$$

and consequently

$$\int\limits_{\Omega_P} \exp\left(i\sum_{k=1}^{l} t_k \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k\left(x_r(\omega)\right)\right)\right) d\mathbb{P}'(\omega) =$$

$$= \int\limits_{\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}((0,+\infty))} \exp\left(i\sum_{k=1}^{l} t_k \operatorname{int}_{\psi_k}(\eta)\right) d(\mathfrak{s}_*\mathbb{P}'(\eta)).$$

We now write

$$\int\limits_{\Omega_P} \exp\left(i\sum_{k=1}^{l} t_k \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k\left(x_r(\omega)\right)\right)\right) d\mathbb{P}'(\omega) =$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty} \int\limits_{\Omega_P} \exp\left(i\sum_{k=1}^{l} t_k \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k\left(x_r(\omega)\right)\right)\right) d\mathbb{P}_n(\omega)\,.$$

On the other hand, since $\mathbb{P}_n \to \mathbb{P}$ weakly in $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}\big((0,+\infty)\big)\right)$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int\limits_{\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}\left((0,+\infty)\right)} \exp\left(i\sum_{k=1}^{l} t_k \operatorname{int}_{\psi_k}(\eta)\right) d(\mathfrak{s}_*\mathbb{P}_n) =$$

$$= \int\limits_{\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}((0,+\infty))} \exp\left(i\sum_{k=1}^{l} t_k \operatorname{int}_{\psi_k}(\eta)\right) d\mathbb{P}.$$

It follows that

$$\int\limits_{\Omega_P} \exp\left(i\sum_{k=1}^{l} t_k \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \varphi_k\left(x_r(\omega)\right)\right)\right) d\mathbb{P}'(\omega) =$$

$$= \int\limits_{\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}\left((0,+\infty)\right)} \exp\left(i\sum_{k=1}^{l} t_k \operatorname{int}_{\psi_k}(\eta)\right) d\mathbb{P}.$$

Since integrals of functions of the form $\exp\left(i\sum\limits_{k=1}^{l} t_k \operatorname{int}_{\psi_k}(\eta)\right)$ determine a finite Borel measure on $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}\big((0,+\infty)\big)$ uniquely, we have

$$\mathfrak{s}_*\mathbb{P}' = \mathbb{P}\,.$$

The Third Step: The Limit Measure is Supported on Ω_P^0.
To see that $\mathbb{P}'(\Omega_P \backslash \Omega_P^0) = 0$, set

$$\gamma'(\omega) = \gamma(\omega) + \sum_{k:x_k(\omega)\geq 1} (1 - x_k(\omega)).$$

Since the sum in the right-hand side is finite, the function γ' is continuous on Ω_P, and we have

$$\int\limits_{\Omega_P} \exp(-\gamma'(\omega))\, d\mathbb{P}'(\omega) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int\limits_{\Omega_P} \exp(-\gamma'(\omega))\, d\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{P}_n(\omega).$$

We also have

$$\int\limits_{\Omega_P} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \min(1, x_i(\omega))\right) d\mathbb{P}'(\omega) =$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int\limits_{\Omega_P} \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \min(1, x_i(\omega))\right) d\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{P}_n(\omega).$$

Since $\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{P}_n(\Omega_P\backslash\Omega_P^0)=0$ for all n, we have

$$\int\limits_{\Omega_P}\exp(-\gamma'(\omega))\,d\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{P}_n(\omega)=\int\limits_{\Omega_P}\exp\biggl(-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\min(1,x_i(\omega))\biggr)\,d\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{P}_n(\omega),$$

for all n. It follows that

$$\int\limits_{\Omega_P}\exp(-\gamma'(\omega))\,d\mathbb{P}'(\omega)=\int\limits_{\Omega_P}\exp\biggl(-\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\min(1,x_i(\omega))\biggr)\,d\mathbb{P}'(\omega),$$

whence the equality $\gamma'(\omega)=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{\infty}\min(1,x_i(\omega))$ and, consequently, also the equality $\gamma(\omega)=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{\infty}x_i(\omega)$ holds $\mathbb{P}'$-almost surely, and $\mathbb{P}'(\Omega_P\backslash\Omega_P^0)=0$. We thus have $\mathbb{P}'=\mathfrak{p}\mathbb{P}$. The proof is complete.

4. Proof of Lemma I.1.14 and Completion of the Proof of Theorem I.1.11

4.1. **Reduction of Lemma I.1.14 to Lemma 4.1.** Recall that we have introduced a sequence of mappings

$$\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}:\operatorname{Mat}(n,\mathbb{C})\to\Omega_P^0,\quad n\in\mathbb{N}$$

that to $z\in\operatorname{Mat}(n,\mathbb{C})$ assigns the point

$$\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}(z)=\left(\frac{\operatorname{tr}z^*z}{n^2},\frac{\lambda_1(z)}{n^2},\dots,\frac{\lambda_n(z)}{n^2},0,\dots,0,\dots\right),$$

where $\lambda_1(z)\geq\dots\geq\lambda_n(z)\geq 0$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix z^*z, counted with multiplicities and arranged in non-increasing order. By definition, we have

$$\gamma(\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}(z))=\frac{\operatorname{tr}z^*z}{n^2}.$$

Following Vershik [45], we now introduce on $\operatorname{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C})$ a sequence of averaging operators over the compact groups $U(n)\times U(n)$.

$$\left(\mathcal{A}_nf\right)(z)=\int\limits_{U(n)\times U(n)}f(u_1zu_2^{-1})du_1du_2,$$

where du stands for the normalized Haar measure on the group $U(n)$. For any $U(\infty)\times U(\infty)$-invariant probability measure on $\operatorname{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C})$, the operator $\mathcal{A}_n$ is the operator of conditional expectation with respect to the sigma-algebra of $U(n)\times U(n)$-invariant sets.

By definition, the function $\left(\mathcal{A}_nf\right)(z)$ only depends on $\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}(z)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a positive Schwartz function φ on $\mathrm{Mat}(m, \mathbb{C})$ as well as a positive continuous function f on Ω_P such that for any $z \in \mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$ and any $n \geq m$ we have*

$$f(\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}(z)) \leq (\mathcal{A}_n \varphi)(z).$$

Remark. The function φ, initially defined on $\mathrm{Mat}(m, \mathbb{C})$, is here extended to $\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$ in the obvious way: the value of φ at a matrix z is set to be its value on its $m \times m$-corner.

We postpone the proof of the Lemma to the next subsection and proceed with the the proof of Lemma I.1.14.

Refining the definition of the class $\mathfrak{F}$ in the introduction to the first part of the paper, take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathfrak{F}(m)$ be the family of all Borel sigma-finite $U(\infty) \times U(\infty)$-invariant measures ν on $\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$ such that for any $R > 0$ we have

$$\nu\left(\{z : \max_{i,j \leq m} |z_{ij}| < R\}\right) < +\infty.$$

Equivalently, the measure of a set of matrices, whose $m \times m$-corners are required to lie in a compact set, must be finite; in particular, the projections $(\pi_n^\infty)_* \nu$ are well-defined for all $n \geq m$. For example, if $s + m > 0$, then the Pickrell measure $\mu^{(s)}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{F}(m)$. Recall furthermore that, by the results of [10], [11] any measure $\nu \in \mathfrak{F}(m)$ admits a unique ergodic decomposition into *finite* ergodic components: in other words, for any such ν there exists a unique Borel sigma-finite measure $\overline{\nu}$ on Ω_P such that we have

$$\nu = \int\limits_{\Omega_P} \eta_\omega d\overline{\nu}(\omega).$$

Since the orbit of the unitary group is of course a compact set, the measures $(\mathfrak{r}^{(n)})_* \nu$ are well-defined for $n > m$ and may be thought of as finite-dimensional approximations of the decomposing measure $\overline{\nu}$. Indeed, recall from the introduction to the first part of the paper that, if ν is finite, then the measure $\overline{\nu}$ is the weak limit of the measures $(\mathfrak{r}^{(n)})_* \nu$ as $n \to \infty$. The following proposition is a stronger and a more precise version of Lemma I.1.14 from the introduction.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\nu \in \mathfrak{F}(m)$, let φ and f be given by Lemma* 4.1*, and assume*

$$\varphi \in L_1(\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}), \nu).$$

Then

(1) $f \in L_1(\Omega_P, (\mathfrak{r}^{(n)})_* \nu)$ *for all* $n > m$*;*
(2) $f \in L_1(\Omega_P, \overline{\nu})$*;*
(3) $f(\mathfrak{r}^{(n)})_* \nu \to f\overline{\nu}$ *weakly in* $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\Omega_P)$.

Proof. *First Step: The Martingale Convergence Theorem and the Ergodic Decomposition.*

We start by formulating a pointwise version of the equality (I.14) from the Introduction: for any $z \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ and any bounded continuous function φ on $\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N};\mathbb{C})$ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{A}_n\varphi(z) = \int\limits_{\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N};\mathbb{C})} f d\eta_{\mathfrak{r}^\infty(z)} \tag{7}$$

(here, as always, given $\omega \in \Omega_P$, the symbol η_ω stands for the ergodic probability measure corresponding to ω.) Indeed, (7) immediately follows from the definition of regular matrices, the Olshanski-Vershik characterization of the convergence of orbital measures [31] and the Reverse Martingale Convergence Theorem.

The Second Step.

Now let φ and f be given by Lemma 4.1, and assume

$$\varphi \in L_1(\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C}),\nu).$$

Lemma 4.3. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $U(\infty)\times U(\infty)$-invariant set $Y_\varepsilon \subset \mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C})$ such that*

(1) $\nu(Y_\varepsilon) < +\infty$;

(2) *for all $n > m$ we have*

$$\int\limits_{\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C})\setminus Y_\varepsilon} f(\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}(z))d\nu(z) < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Since $\varphi \in L_1(\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C}),\nu)$, we have

$$\int\limits_{\Omega_P}\left(\int\limits_{\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C})} \varphi d\eta_\omega\right) d\overline{\nu}(\omega) < +\infty.$$

Choose a Borel subset $\tilde{Y}^\varepsilon \subset \Omega_P$ in such a way that $\overline{\nu}(\tilde{Y}^\varepsilon) < +\infty$ and

$$\int\limits_{\tilde{Y}^\varepsilon}\left(\int\limits_{\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C})} \varphi d\eta_\omega\right) d\overline{\nu}(\omega) < \varepsilon.$$

The pre-image of the set $\tilde{Y}^\varepsilon$ under the map $\mathfrak{r}^{(\infty)}$ or, more precisely, the set

$$Y_\varepsilon = \{z \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\mathrm{reg}} : \mathfrak{r}^{(\infty)}(z) \in \tilde{Y}^\varepsilon\}$$

is by definition $U(\infty)\times U(\infty)$-invariant and has all the desired properties.

The Third Step.

Let $\psi : \Omega_P \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and bounded. Take $\varepsilon > 0$ and the corresponding set Y_ε.

For any $z \in \mathrm{Mat}_{\mathrm{reg}}$ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \psi(\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}(z)) \cdot f(\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}(z)) = \psi(\mathfrak{r}^{(\infty)}(z)) \cdot f(\mathfrak{r}^{(\infty)}(z)).$$

Since $\nu(Y_\varepsilon) < \infty$, the bounded convergence theorem gives

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int\limits_{Y_\varepsilon} \psi(\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}(z)) \cdot f(\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}(z)) d\nu(z) = \\ = \int\limits_{Y_\varepsilon} \psi(\mathfrak{r}^{(\infty)}(z)) \cdot f(\mathfrak{r}^{(\infty)}(z)) d\nu(z).$$

By definition of Y_ε for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > m$, we have

$$\left| \int\limits_{\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C})\setminus Y_\varepsilon} \psi(\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}(z)) \cdot f(\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}(z)) d\nu(z) \right| \leq \varepsilon \sup_{\Omega_P} |\psi|.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int\limits_{\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C})} \psi(\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}(z)) \cdot f(\mathfrak{r}^{(n)}(z)) d\nu(z) = \\ = \int\limits_{\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C})} \psi(\mathfrak{r}^{(\infty)}(z)) \cdot f(\mathfrak{r}^{(\infty)}(z)) d\nu(z),$$

which, in turn, implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int\limits_{\Omega_P} \psi f d(\mathfrak{r}^{(n)})_*(\nu) = \int\limits_{\Omega_P} \psi f d\overline{\nu},$$

that the weak convergence is established, and that the Lemma is proved completely.

4.2. **Proof of Lemma 4.1.** Introduce an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathrm{Mat}(m, \mathbb{C})$ by the formula $\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle = \Re \operatorname{tr}(z_1^* z_2)$. This inner product is naturally extended to a pairing between the projective limit $\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$ and the inductive limit

$$\mathrm{Mat}_0 = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Mat}(m, \mathbb{C}).$$

For a matrix $\zeta \in \mathrm{Mat}_0$ set

$$\Xi_\zeta(z) = \exp(i\langle \zeta, z \rangle),\ z \in \mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}).$$

We start with the following simple estimate on the behaviour of the Fourier transform of orbital measures.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $n > m$ and $\zeta \in \mathrm{Mat}(m, \mathbb{C})$, $z \in \mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C})$ satisfying*

$$\mathrm{tr}(\zeta^*\zeta)\,\mathrm{tr}\left((\pi_n^\infty(z))^*(\pi_n^\infty(z)\right) < \delta n^2$$

we have

$$|1 - \mathcal{A}_n \Xi_\zeta(z)| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. This is a simple corollary of the power series representation of the Harish-Chandra–Itzykson–Zuber orbital integral, see e.g. [15], [16], [36]. Indeed, let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ be the eigenvalues of $\zeta^*\zeta$, and let $x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_n^{(n)}$ be the eigenvalues of $\pi_n^\infty(z)$.

The standard power series representation, see e.g. [15], [16],[36], for the Harish-Chandra–Itzykson–Zuber orbital integral gives, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a representation

$$A_n \Xi_\zeta(z) = 1 + \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}_+} a(\lambda, n) s_\lambda\left(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\right) \cdot s_\lambda\left(\frac{x_1^{(n)}}{n^2}, \dots, \frac{x_n^{(n)}}{n^2}\right),$$

where the summation takes place over the set $\mathbb{Y}_+$ of all non-empty Young diagrams λ, s_λ stands for the Schur polynomial corresponding to the diagram λ, and the coefficients $a(\lambda, n)$ satisfy

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}_+} |a(\lambda, n)| \le 1$$

The lemma follows immediately.

Corollary 4.5. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $R > 0$, there exists a positive Schwartz function $\psi : \mathrm{Mat}(m, \mathbb{C}) \to (0, 1]$ such that for all $n > m$ we have*

$$\mathcal{A}_n \psi(\pi_m^\infty(z)) \ge 1 - \varepsilon \tag{8}$$

for all z satisfying

$$\mathrm{tr}\left((\pi_n^\infty(z))^*(\pi_n^\infty(z)\right) < Rn^2.$$

Proof. Let ψ be a Schwartz function taking values in $(0, 1]$. Assume additionally that $\psi(0) = 1$ and that the Fourier transform of ψ is supported in the ball of radius ε_0 around the origin. A Schwartz function satisfying all these requirements is constructed without difficulty. By Lemma 4.4, if ε_0 is small enough as a function of m, ε, R, then the inequality (8) holds for all $n > m$. Corollary 4.5 is proved completely.

We now conclude the proof of Lemma 4.1.

Take a sequence $R_n \to \infty$, and let ψ_n be the corresponding sequence of Schwartz functions given by Corollary 4.5. Take positive numbers t_n

decaying fast enough so that the function

$$\varphi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n \psi_n$$

is Schwartz.

Let $\tilde{f}$ be a positive continuous function on $(0, +\infty)$ such that for any n, if $t \leq R_n$, then $\tilde{f}(t) < t_n/2$. For $\omega \in \Omega_P$, $\omega = (\gamma, x)$, set

$$f(\omega) = \tilde{f}(\gamma(\omega)).$$

The function f is by definition positive and continuous. By Corollary 4.5, the functions φ and f satisfy all requirements of Lemma 4.1, which, therefore, is proved completely.

4.3. **Completion of the proof of Theorem I.1.11.**

Lemma 4.6. *Let E be a locally compact complete metric space. Let $\mathbb{B}_n, \mathbb{B}$ be sigma-finite measures on E, let $\mathbb{P}$ be a probability measure on E, and let f, g be positive bounded continuous functions on E. Assume that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$g \in L_1(E, \mathbb{B}_n)$$

and that, as $n \to \infty$, we have

(1) $f\mathbb{B}_n \to f\mathbb{B}$ *weakly in* $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}(E)$*;*

(2) $\dfrac{g\mathbb{B}_n}{\int\limits_E g d\mathbb{B}_n} \to \mathbb{P}$ *weakly in* $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fin}}(E)$.

Then

$$g \in L_1(E, \mathbb{B})$$

and

$$\mathbb{P} = \frac{g\mathbb{B}}{\int\limits_E g d\mathbb{B}}.$$

Proof. Let φ be a nonnegative bounded continuous function on E. On the one hand, as $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\int\limits_E \varphi f g d\mathbb{B}_n \to \int\limits_E \varphi f g d\mathbb{B},$$

and, on the other hand, we have

$$\frac{\int\limits_E \varphi f g d\mathbb{B}_n}{\int\limits_E g d\mathbb{B}_n} \to \int\limits_E \varphi f d\mathbb{P}. \tag{9}$$

Choosing $\varphi = 1$, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int\limits_E g d\mathbb{B}_n = \frac{\int\limits_E f g d\mathbb{B}}{\int\limits_E f d\mathbb{P}} > 0;$$

the sequence $\int\limits_E g d\mathbb{B}_n$ is thus bounded away both from zero and infinity. Furthermore, for arbitrary bounded continuous positive φ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int\limits_E g d\mathbb{B}_n = \frac{\int\limits_E \varphi f g d\mathbb{B}}{\int\limits_E \varphi f d\mathbb{P}}.$$

Now take $R > 0$ and $\varphi(x) = \min(1/f(x), R)$. Letting R tend to ∞, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int\limits_E g d\mathbb{B}_n = \int\limits_E g d\mathbb{B}. \tag{10}$$

Substituting (10) back into (9), we arrive at the equality

$$\int\limits_E \varphi f d\mathbb{P} = \frac{\int\limits_E \varphi f g d\mathbb{B}}{\int\limits_E g d\mathbb{B}}.$$

Note that here, as in (9), the function φ may be an arbitrary nonnegative continuous function on E. In particular, taking a compactly supported function ψ on E and setting $\varphi = \psi/f$, we obtain

$$\int\limits_E \psi d\mathbb{P} = \frac{\int\limits_E \psi g d\mathbb{B}}{\int\limits_E g d\mathbb{B}}.$$

Since this equality is true for any compactly supported fnction ψ on E, we conclude that

$$\mathbb{P} = \frac{g\mathbb{B}}{\int\limits_E g d\mathbb{B}},$$

and the Lemma is proved completely.

Combining Lemma 4.6 with Lemma I.1.14 and Proposition I.1.16, we conclude the proof of Theorem I.1.11.

Theorem I.1.11 is proved completely.

4.4. **Proof of Proposition I.1.9.** Using Kakutani's theorem, we now conclude the proof of Proposition I.1.9. Take n large enough so that $n+s>1$, $n+s'>1$ and compute the Hellinger integral

$$\mathrm{Hel}\,(n,s,s') = \mathbb{E}\left(\sqrt{(P^{(n,n-1,s)}\times P^{(n,n,s)})\cdot(P^{(n,n-1,s')}\times P^{(n,n,s')})}\right) =$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(2n-1+s)}{\Gamma(n-1)\Gamma(n+s)}\cdot\frac{\Gamma(2n-1+s')}{\Gamma(n-1)\Gamma(n+s')}\cdot\frac{\Gamma(2n+s)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(n+s)}\cdot\frac{\Gamma(2n+s')}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(n+s')}}\times$$

$$\times\int\limits_0^\infty r^{n-1}(1+r)^{-2n-1-\frac{s+s'}{2}}dr\cdot\int\limits_0^\infty r^{n-1}(1+r)^{-2n-\frac{s+s'}{2}}dr =$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\Gamma(2n-1+s)\cdot\Gamma(2n-1+s')}}{\Gamma(2n-1+\frac{s+s'}{2})}\cdot\frac{\sqrt{\Gamma(2n+s)\cdot\Gamma(2n+s')}}{\Gamma(2n+\frac{s+s'}{2})}\cdot\frac{\left(\Gamma(n+\frac{s+s'}{2})\right)^2}{\Gamma(n+s)\cdot\Gamma(n+s')}.$$

We now recall a classical asymptotics: as $t\to\infty$, we have

$$\frac{\Gamma(t+a_1)\cdot\Gamma(t+a_2)}{\left(\Gamma(t+\frac{a_1+a_2}{2})\right)^2} = 1+\frac{(a_1+a_2)^2}{4t}+O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right).$$

It follows that

$$\mathrm{Hel}(n,s,s') = 1-\frac{(s+s')^2}{8\,n}+O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right),$$

whence, by the Kakutani's theorem combined with (I.40), the Pickrell measures $\mu^{(s)}$ and $\mu^{(s')}$, finite or infinite, are mutually singular if $s\neq s'$.

4.5. **Proof of Proposition I.1.4.** In view of Proposition I.1.10 and Theorem I.1.11, it suffices to prove the singularity of the ergodic decomposition measures $\overline{\mu}^{(s_1)}$, $\overline{\mu}^{(s_2)}$. Since, by Proposition I.1.9, the measures $\mu^{(s_1)}$, $\mu^{(s_2)}$ are mutually singular, there exists a set $D\subset\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$\mu^{(s_1)}(D)=0,\quad \mu^{(s_2)}(\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{C})\setminus D)=0.$$

Introduce the set

$$\tilde{D} = \{z \in \mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}) : \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathcal{A}_n \chi_D(z) = 1\}.$$

By definition, the set $\tilde{D}$ is $U(\infty) \times U(\infty)$-invariant, and we have

$$\mu^{(s_1)}(\tilde{D}) = 0, \quad \mu^{(s_2)}(\mathrm{Mat}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{C}) \setminus \tilde{D}) = 0.$$

Introduce now the set $\overline{D} \subset \Omega_P$ by the formula

$$\overline{D} = \{\omega \in \Omega_P : \eta_\omega(\tilde{D}) = 1\}.$$

We clearly have

$$\overline{\mu}^{(s_1)}(\overline{D}) = 0, \quad \overline{\mu}^{(s_2)}(\Omega_P \setminus \overline{D}) = 0.$$

Proposition I.1.4 is proved completely.

Acknowledgements. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 647133 (ICHAOS)) and has also been funded by Grant MD 5991.2016.1 of the President of the Russian Federation as well as by the Russian Academic Excellence Project '5-100'.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions, National Bureau of Standards, Department of Commerce of the United States of America, Tenth Printing, 1972.
[2] E.M. Baruch, The classical Hankel transform in the Kirillov model, arxiv:1010.5184v1.
[3] V.I. Bogachev, Measure theory. Vol. II. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2007.
[4] A.M. Borodin, Determinantal point processes, in The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory, Oxford University Press, 2011.
[5] A. Borodin, G. Olshanski, Infinite random matrices and ergodic measures. Comm. Math. Phys. 223 (2001), no. 1, 87–123.
[6] A.M. Borodin, E.M. Rains, Eynard-Mehta theorem, Schur process, and their pfaffian analogs. J. Stat. Phys. 121 (2005), 291–317.
[7] P. Bourgade, A. Nikeghbali, A. Rouault, Ewens Measures on Compact Groups and Hypergeometric Kernels, Séminaire de Probabilités XLIII, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2011, pp 351-377.
[8] A.I. Bufetov, Infinite Determinantal Measures and The Ergodic Decomposition of Infinite Pickrell Measures I. Submitted to Russian Mathematical Surveys.
[9] A.I. Bufetov, Infinite Determinantal Measures and The Ergodic Decomposition of Infinite Pickrell Measures II. Submitted to Russian Mathematical Surveys.
[10] A.I. Bufetov, Ergodic decomposition for measures quasi-invariant under Borel actions of inductively compact groups, arXiv:1105.0664, May 2011, to appear in Matematicheskii Sbornik .
[11] A.I. Bufetov, Finiteness of Ergodic Unitarily Invariant Measures on Spaces of Infinite Matrices, arXiv:1108.2737, August 2011, to appear in Annales de l'Institut Fourier.

[12] A.I. Bufetov, Multiplicative functionals of determinantal processes, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 67 (2012), no. 1 (403), 177–178; translation in Russian Math. Surveys 67 (2012), no. 1, 181–182.
[13] A. Bufetov, Infinite determinantal measures, Electronic Research Announcements in the Mathematical Sciences, 20 (2013), pp. 8 – 20.
[14] D.J.Daley, D. Vere-Jones, An introduction to the theory of point processes, vol.I-II, Springer Verlag 2008.
[15] J. Faraut, Analyse sur les groupes de Lie: une introduction, Calvage et Mounet 2006.
[16] J. Faraut, Analysis on Lie Groups, Cambridge University Press, 2008.
[17] S. Ghobber, Ph. Jaming, Strong annihilating pairs for the Fourier-Bessel transform. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 377 (2011), 501–515.
[18] S. Ghobber, Ph. Jaming, Uncertainty principles for integral operators, arxiv:1206.1195v1
[19] J.B. Hough, M. Krishnapur, Y. Peres, B. Virág, Determinantal processes and independence. Probab. Surv. 3 (2006), 206–229.
[20] Hua Loo Keng, Harmonic Analysis of Functions of Several Complex Variables in the Classical Domains, Science Press Peking 1958, Russian translation Moscow Izd.Inostr. lit., 1959, English translation (from the Russian) AMS 1963.
[21] A. Kolmogoroff, Grundbegriffe der Wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung, Springer Verlag, 1933.
[22] A. Lenard, States of classical statistical mechanical systems of infinitely many particles. I. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 59 (1975), no. 3, 219–239.
[23] R. Lyons, Determinantal probability measures. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. No. 98 (2003), 167–212.
[24] R. Lyons, J. Steif, Stationary determinantal processes: phase multiplicity, Bernoullicity, entropy, and domination. Duke Math. J. 120 (2003), no. 3, 515–575.
[25] E. Lytvynov, Fermion and boson random point processes as particle distributions of infinite free Fermi and Bose gases of finite density. Rev. Math. Phys. 14 (2002), no. 10, 1073–1098.
[26] O. Macchi, The coincidence approach to stochastic point processes. Advances in Appl. Probability, 7 (1975), 83–122.
[27] Yu.A. Neretin, Hua-type integrals over unitary groups and over projective limits of unitary groups. Duke Math. J. 114 (2002), no. 2, 239–266.
[28] G. Olshanski, The quasi-invariance property for the Gamma kernel determinantal measure. Adv. Math. 226 (2011), no. 3, 2305–2350.
[29] G. Olshanski, Unitary representations of infinite-dimensional pairs (G, K) and the formalism of R. Howe, In: Representation of Lie Groups and Related Topics, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., 7, Gordon and Breach, NY, 1990, pp. 269–463, online at `http://www.iitp.ru/upload/userpage/52/HoweForm.pdf`
[30] G. Olshanski, Unitary representations of infinite-dimensional classical groups (Russian), D.Sci Thesis, Institute of Geography of the Russian Academy of Sciences; online at `http://www.iitp.ru/upload/userpage/52/Olshanski_thesis.pdf`
[31] G. Olshanski, A. Vershik, Ergodic unitarily invariant measures on the space of infinite Hermitian matrices. In: Contemporary mathematical physics, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 175, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996, pp. 137–175.
[32] D. Pickrell, Mackey analysis of infinite classical motion groups. Pacific J. Math. 150 (1991), no. 1, 139–166.

[33] D. Pickrell, Separable representations of automorphism groups of infinite symmetric spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 90 (1990), 1–26.
[34] D. Pickrell, Measures on infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifolds, J. Funct. Anal. 70 (1987), 323–356.
[35] M. Rabaoui, Asymptotic harmonic analysis on the space of square complex matrices. J. Lie Theory 18 (2008), no. 3, 645–670.
[36] M. Rabaoui, A Bochner type theorem for inductive limits of Gelfand pairs. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 58 (2008), no. 5, 1551–1573.
[37] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of modern mathematical physics. vol.I-IV. Second edition. Academic Press, Inc. New York, 1980.
[38] T. Shirai, Y. Takahashi, Random point fields associated with fermion, boson and other statistics. In: Stochastic analysis on large scale interacting systems, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 39, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004, 345–354.
[39] T. Shirai, Y. Takahashi, Random point fields associated with certain Fredholm determinants. I. Fermion, Poisson and boson point processes. J. Funct. Anal. 205 (2003), no. 2, 414–463.
[40] T. Shirai, Y. Takahashi, Random point fields associated with certain Fredholm determinants. II. Fermion shifts and their ergodic and Gibbs properties. Ann. Probab. 31 (2003), no. 3, 1533–1564.
[41] B. Simon, Trace class ideals, AMS, 2011.
[42] A. Soshnikov, Determinantal random point fields. (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 55 (2000), no. 5(335), 107–160; translation in Russian Math. Surveys 55 (2000), no. 5, 923–975.
[43] G. Szegö, Orthogonal polynomials, AMS 1969.
[44] C. A. Tracy, H. Widom, Level spacing distributions and the Bessel kernel. Comm. Math. Phys. 161, no. 2 (1994), 289–309.
[45] A.M. Vershik, A description of invariant measures for actions of certain infinite-dimensional groups. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 218 (1974), 749–752.

AIX-MARSEILLE UNIVERSITÉ, CENTRALE MARSEILLE, CNRS, I2M

UMR 7373, RUE F. JOLIOT CURIE , MARSEILLE, FRANCE

STEKLOV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, MOSCOW, RUSSIA

INSTITUTE FOR INFORMATION TRANSMISSION PROBLEMS, MOSCOW, RUSSIA

NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, MOSCOW,

RUSSIA